

## MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION CONTROL BRANCH ANTIDEGRADATION REVIEW SUMMARY ATTACHMENT A: TIER 2 – SIGNIFICANT DEGRADATION

1. FACILITY								
NAME					TELEPHONI	E NUMBER WITH AREA CODE		
ADDRESS (PHYSICAL)		CI	TY		STATE	ZIP CODE		
2. RECEIVING WATER BODY SEGMENT #1								
NAME								
3. WATER BODY SEGMENT #2 (IF APPLICABLE)								
NAME								
4. IDENTIFYING ALTERNATIVES								
Supply a summary of the alternatives considered and the level of treatment attainable with regards to the alternative. "For Discharges likely to cause significant degradation, an analysis of non-degrading and less-degrading alternatives must be provided," as stated in the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.B.1. Per 10 CSR 20-6.010(4)(D)1., the feasibility of a no-discharge system must be considered. Attach all supportive documentation in the Antidegradation Review report.								
Non-degrading alternatives:								
Alternatives ranging from less-degrading to degrading including Preferred Alternative (All must meet water quality standards):								
	Level of Treatment Attainable for each Pollutant of Concern							
Alternatives	BOD	TSS	Ammonia as N	Bacteria (E. Coli)				
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(#/100mL)				
Identifying Alternatives Summary:								
, ,	, <u>——</u>							

5. DETERMINATION OF THE REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE
Per the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.B.2, "a reasonable alternative is one that is practicable, economically efficient and affordable." Provide basis and supporting documentation in the Antidegradation Review report.
Practicability Summary:
"The practicability of an alternative is considered by evaluating the effectiveness, reliability, and potential environmental impacts," according to the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.B.2.a. Examples of factors to consider, including secondary environmental impacts, are given in the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.B.2.a.
Foonomia Efficiency Summany
Economic Efficiency Summary:
Alternatives that are deemed practicable must undergo a direct cost comparison in order to determine economic efficiency. Means to determine economic efficiency are provided in the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.B.2.b.
Afficial de l'ille a Communication
Affordability Summary:  Alternatives identified as most practicable and economically efficient are considered affordable if the applicant does not supply an affordability analysis. An affordability analysis per the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.B.2.c, "may be used to determine if the alternative is too expensive to reasonably implement."
determine if the alternative is too expensive to reasonably implement.
Professed Change Alfanostina
Preferred Chosen Alternative:
December for Delegation the other European Alternatives
Reasons for Rejecting the other Evaluated Alternatives:
Comments/Discussion:

6. SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF THE PRE	FERRED ALTERNATIVE				
If the preferred alternative will result in significant degradation, then it must be demonstrated that it will allow important e and social development in accordance to the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.E. Social and Economic Importance is defined as the social and economic benefits to the community that will occur from any activity involving a expanding discharge.					
Identify the affected community:					
The affected community is defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(B) as are located.: Per the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure living near the site of the proposed project as well as those in the from the project."	e Section II.E.1, "the affected com	munity should include those			
Identify relevant factors that characterize the social and econd	omic conditions of the affected	community:			
Examples of social and economic factors are provided in the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.E.1., but specific community examples are encouraged.					
Describe the important social and economic development ass	ociated with the project:				
Determining benefits for the community and the environment should be site specific and in accordance with the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure Section II.E.1.					
PROPOSED PROJECT SUMMARY:					
Attach the Antidegradation Review report and all supporting documentation. This is a technical document, which must be signed, sealed and dated by a registered professional engineer of Missouri.					
CONSULTANT: I have prepared or reviewed this form and all attached reports and documentation. The conclusion proposed in consistent with the Antidegradation Implementation Procedure and current state and federal regulations.					
SIGNATURE		DATE			
PRINT NAME	LICENSE #:				
TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	E-MAIL ADDRESS:				
OWNER: I have read and reviewed the prepared documents and agree with this submittal.					
SIGNATURE	DATE				
CONTINUING AUTHORITY: I have read and reviewed the prepared documents and agree with this submittal.					
SIGNATURE	DATE				